

**METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DISCRIMINATING SPEECH
FROM VOICE-BAND DATA IN A COMMUNICATION NETWORK**

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Technical Field

This invention relates to the field of communications, and more particularly to a method and an apparatus for discriminating speech from voice-band data in a communication network.

2. Description of Related Art

It is well known that the ability to discriminate between speech and voice-band data (VBD) signals, e.g., originating from a modem or facsimile machine, in a communication network can improve network efficiency and/or ensure Quality of Service requirements. For example, although channels of a conventional telephone network each carry 64 kbps, regardless of whether the channel is carrying speech or VBD, speech can be substantially compressed, e.g., to 8 kbps or 5.3 kbps, at an interface between the telephone network channel and a high-bandwidth integrated service communication system, such as at an ATM (Asynchronous Transfer Mode) trunking device or an IP- (Internet Protocol) telephone network gateway. Therefore, because the type of traffic received at such an interface device can dictate the signal processing performed, several techniques for discriminating between speech and VBD signals have previously been proposed. Such techniques conventionally rely on parameters such as zero-point crossing rates, signal extremas, high/low frequency power rates, and/or power variations between sequential signal segments to discriminate speech from VBD.

Although conventional techniques for discriminating between speech and VBD signals generally achieve low error rates for relatively low-speed VBD, the error rate for such techniques increases significantly for discrimination between speech and high-speed VBD transmissions, such as from V.32, V.32bis, V.34, and V.90 modems which utilize higher symbol rates and complex coding/modulation techniques and generate signals with many characteristics which are different than low-speed transmissions. For high-speed VBD, higher error rates occur because the distribution of many parameter values, such as zero-point crossing rates, signal extremas, and power variations, tend to overlap with corresponding speech parameter values.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is a method and an apparatus which accurately discriminates between speech and VBD in a communication network based on at least one of self similarity ratio (SSR) values, which indicate periodicity characteristics of an input signal segment, and autocorrelation coefficients, which indicate spectral characteristics of an input signal segment to generate a speech/VBD discrimination result.

Typically, voiced speech is characterized by relatively high energy content and periodicity, i.e., "pitch", unvoiced speech exhibits little or no periodicity, and transition regions which occur between voiced and unvoiced speech regions often have characteristics of both voiced and unvoiced speech. During normal transmission, high-speed VBD is scrambled, encoded, and modulated, thereby appearing as noise with no periodicity. Some low-speed VBD signals, such as control signals used during a start-up procedure, exhibit periodicity. The present invention discriminates between periodic speech and VBD signals by recognizing that periodic VBD signals will typically have a faster repetition rate than

voiced speech, and calculating short-term delay and long-term delay SSR values to indicate the repetition rate of an input signal frame.

The present invention also recognizes that analyzing the periodicity characteristics of an input frame may not ensure accurate speech/VBD discrimination, and that the certain spectral characteristics of an input frame may reveal whether the input frame is speech or VBD. For example, the carrier frequency used by a typical modem/fax is within a narrow range, whereas speech is a non-stationary random signal which typically exhibits large variations in its power spectrum. The present invention calculates short-term autocorrelation coefficients to determine the spectral envelope of an input frame to facilitate accurate speech/VBD discrimination.

According to one implementation of the present invention, the speech/VBD discrimination technique of the present invention is implemented in a sequential decision logic algorithm which improves classification performance by recognizing that changes from speech to VBD or vice versa in a communication medium are unlikely. Therefore, after a predetermined number of frames have been classified as speech or VBD based on SSR values and/or autocorrelation coefficients, the sequential decision logic algorithm enters a "speech state" or a "VBD state" in which the speech/VBD discrimination output does not change unless a certain number of subsequent classification results indicate that the current decision state is erroneous. In one exemplary implementation of the present invention, the sequential decision logic algorithm discounts discrimination results for relatively low-power signal portions which are more susceptible to errors to further improve discrimination accuracy.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Other aspects and advantages of the present invention will become apparent from the following detailed description and accompanying drawings, where:

5 Fig. 1 is a general block diagram of an apparatus for discriminating speech from VBD signals in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention;

10 Fig. 2 is a flowchart illustrating speech/VBD discrimination based on SSR values and autocorrelation coefficients according to an embodiment of the present invention; and

 Figs. 3A-3C are flowcharts illustrating a sequential decision logic algorithm for classifying input signal segments as either speech or VBD in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

15 **DETAILED DESCRIPTION**

 The present invention is a method and apparatus for accurately discriminating speech from VBD in a communication network. Fig. 1 is a general block diagram illustrating an exemplary speech/VBD discriminator 100 in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention which
20 may be implemented in a network interface device, such as an ATM trunking device or an IP-telephone network gateway. As shown in Fig. 1, the speech/VBD discriminator 100 includes an input frame buffer 110, a high-pass filter 120, and a speech/VBD discriminating unit 130. It should be recognized that, although the general block diagram of Fig. 1 illustrates
25 a plurality of discrete components, the VBD/discriminator 100 may be implemented in a variety of ways, such as in a software driven processor, e.g., a Digital Signal Processor (DSP), in programmable logic devices, in application specific integrated circuits, or in a combination of such devices.

The input frame buffer 110 receives an input signal, e.g., from a network line card which samples the signal from a conventional telephone network channel at an 8 kHz clock rate, to buffer frames of N consecutive speech samples per frame. Nominally, the input signal received by the input frame buffer has been sampled at an 8 kHz clock rate, frame size is in the range of 10 milliseconds (i.e., $N = 80$ samples at a 8 kHz sampling rate) to 30 milliseconds (i.e., $N = 240$ samples at a 8 kHz sampling rate), and a 16-bit linear binary word represents the amplitude of an input sample (i.e., an input sample is no more than 2^{15}). The high-pass filter 120 filters each frame of N samples to remove DC components therefrom. Input frames are high-pass filtered because DC signal components have little useful information for speech/VBD discrimination, and may cause bias errors when computing the signal feature values discussed below. An exemplary filter transfer function represented in the z -transform domain, $H(z)$, used by the high-pass filter 120 is represented as:

$$H(z) = \frac{1 - z^{-1}}{1 - \frac{127}{128} \cdot z^{-1}} \quad (1)$$

where $z^{-1} = e^{j\omega}$. The speech/VBD discriminating unit 130 receives the output of the high-pass filter 120, and performs speech/VBD discrimination in a manner described in more detail below.

Typically, speech includes voiced regions, which are characterized by relatively high energy content and periodicity (commonly referred to as "pitch"), unvoiced regions which have little or no periodicity, and transition regions which occur between voiced and unvoiced speech regions and, thus, often have characteristics of both voiced and unvoiced speech. During normal transmission, high speed VBD is scrambled, encoded, and modulated, thereby appearing as noise with no periodicity. Some low speed

VBD signals, such as control signals used during a start-up procedure, exhibit periodicity.

The present invention recognizes that VBD signals which exhibit periodicity will typically have a faster repetition rate than voiced speech, and also recognizes that certain spectral characteristics can also be effectively used to discriminate VBD from speech. For example, the carrier frequency used by a typical modem/fax is within a narrow range, e.g., between 1 kHz and 3 kHz, such that the power spectrum of a VBD signal is centered on the carrier frequency, e.g., typically centered above 1 kHz. On the other hand, speech is a non-stationary random signal which typically exhibits large power spectrum variations. The present invention calculates short-term autocorrelation coefficients to determine the spectral characteristics of an input signal to aid speech/VBD discrimination. To enable speech/VBD discrimination in accordance with these principles, the speech/VBD discrimination unit 130 performs the calculations described below for each buffered and filtered frame of N samples.

The speech/VBD discriminating unit 130 calculates short-time power, P_s , of an input frame using a window of N samples by calculating:

$$P_s(n) = \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{i=n(N-1)}^{nN-1} x(i) \cdot x(i), \quad (2)$$

where n is the frame number, and $x(i)$ is the amplitude of sample i . The speech/VBD discriminating unit 130 also calculates SSR values to measure the similarity between sequential signal segments. More specifically, two separate SSR calculations are made for each frame to extract periodicity characteristics thereof. $SSR1(n)$, representing SSR for a range of relatively small sample delays, is calculated as:

$$SSR_1(n) = \text{Max}\{COL(n, j)\}, \quad 3 \leq j \leq 17, \quad (3)$$

where j is the sample delay, and $COL(n, j)$ is calculated as:

$$COL(n, j) = \frac{\sum_{i=n(N-1)}^{nN-1} x(i) \cdot x(i-j)}{\sum_{i=n(N-1)}^{nN-1} x(i-j) \cdot x(i-j)} \quad (4)$$

5 $SSR_2(n)$, representing SSR for a range of relatively large sample delays, is calculated as:

$$SSR_2(n) = \text{Max}\{COL(n, j)\}, \quad 18 \leq j \leq 143 \quad (5)$$

For voiced speech, the delay, i.e., the value of j , which results in the largest (max) SSR is the estimated pitch (or its multiple). The pitch of human voice is typically in the range of 2.225 milliseconds to 17.7 milliseconds or 18-122 samples in an 8 kHz sampled signal. Therefore, if $SSR_2(n)$ is larger than a certain threshold, this tends to indicate that the corresponding frame is voiced speech. If $SSR_1(n)$ is a large value, however, the input signal frame may be a non-speech stationary signal with a high repetition rate.

The speech/VBD discriminating unit 130 also calculates autocorrelation coefficients, which represent certain spectral characteristics of the frame of interest. Because an autocorrelation function of a signal is the inverse Fourier transform of its power spectrum, a short-term autocorrelation function, or low-delay autocorrelation coefficients, represents the spectral envelope of a frame. The present invention uses three autocorrelation coefficients, with 2, 3, and 4 sample delays respectively, to analyze spectral characteristics of a frame of interest. A normalized representation of autocorrelation for an input frame with a delay of k samples, $Rkd(n)$, using a window of N consecutive samples, is represented by:

$$Rkd(n) = \frac{1}{N \cdot P_s(n)} \cdot \sum_{i=n-(N-1)}^{n-1} x(i) \cdot x(i-k). \quad (6)$$

To establish a relationship between the power spectrum of a signal and autocorrelation coefficients, it can be assumed that the input signal is a single tone represented as:

$$x(k) = A \cdot \sin(2 \cdot \pi \cdot f \cdot k / f_s + \theta), \quad (7)$$

where $f_s = 8$ kHz, and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. In this case, the autocorrelation coefficient with a delay of two samples, $R2d$, is:

$$R2d = \cos(4 \cdot \pi \cdot f / f_s). \quad (8)$$

From equation (8), it can be seen that $R2d$ will be negative for 1 kHz $< f < 3$ kHz. Most VBD carrier frequencies lie in this range. If the input is a single tone, or a narrow-band signal with a power spectrum centered around 2 kHz, then $R2d$ will be nearly -1. On the other hand, if the input signal is a tone or narrow band signal with a power spectrum centered around 0 kHz or 4 kHz, then $R2d$ will be nearly +1.

According to equation (7), $R3d$ and $R4d$ can respectively be calculated as follows:

$$R3d = \cos(6 \cdot \pi \cdot f / f_s); \quad (9)$$

$$R4d = \cos(8 \cdot \pi \cdot f / f_s). \quad (10)$$

From equation (9), it can be seen that $R3d$ is near -1 when the input signal is a narrow band signal with a power spectrum centered around 1.33 kHz, near 4 kHz, or both. If $R4d$ is near -1, then the input signal should be a narrow band signal with a power spectrum centered around 1 kHz, 3 kHz, or both. Accordingly, $R3d$ and $R4d$ are effective parameters for discriminating single tone, multi-tone, and very low-speed VBD, i.e., such as used by many fax/modem systems, from speech.

As one practical example, the V.21, 300bps, FSK duplex modem, uses different carrier frequencies (H, L) for different direction transmission. The lower channel, V.21 (L), has a nominal mean frequency of 1080Hz with frequency deviation of +/- 100Hz. From equation (10), such a transmission results in:

$$f = 1180\text{Hz} : R4d = \cos(8 \cdot 1180 \cdot \pi / 80000) = -0.844;$$

$$f = 980\text{Hz} : R4d = \cos(8 \cdot 980 \cdot \pi / 80000) = -0.998 .$$

Therefore, an $R4d$ value of a V.21 (L) signal will be less than -0.80. The higher channel, V.21 (H), has a nominal mean frequency of 1750Hz with frequency deviation of +/- 100Hz. From equation (8), $R2d$ for a V.21 (H) signal will also be less than -0.8.

As another example, the V.22, 600Hz symbol rate, QPSK/DPSK duplex modem uses a 1200Hz carrier for its lower channel, and a 2400Hz carrier and 1800Hz guard tone for its higher channel. For a V22 (L) signal, from equation (9), we have:

$$f = 1200\text{Hz}, \quad R3d = \cos(6 \cdot 1200 \cdot \pi / 8000) = -0.95 .$$

Therefore, $R3d$ will be near -1. $R2d$ of V.22 (H) signal will also be less than -0.8.

Fig. 2 illustrates an "raw decision" sequence for classifying a single input frame as being either speech or VBD using the calculated features discussed above. After calculating the Ps , $SSR1$, $SSR2$, $R2d$, $R3d$, and $R4d$ values discussed above (step 150), the speech/VBD discriminating unit 130 initially attempts to classify the frame of interest as either speech or VBD based on $R2d$ (step 152). Specifically, if $R2d$ is less than or equal to a low threshold $TR2L$, e.g., $TR2L = -0.75$, the input frame is classified as VBD. If $R2d$ is greater than or equal to a high threshold $TR2H$, e.g., $TR2H = 0.55$, the input frame is classified as speech.

If $R2d$ is between $TR2L$ and $TR2H$, then the speech/VBD discriminating unit 130 next attempts to achieve a discrimination result

based on *SSR1* (step 158). Specifically, if *SSR1* is greater than or equal to a first similarity threshold *TS1*, e.g., $TS1 = 0.96$, the input frame is classified as VBD. If *SSR1* is less than *TS1*, the speech/VBD discriminating unit 130 next attempts to discriminate based on *R3d* and *R4d* (step 162).

- 5 Specifically, the input frame is classified as VBD if *R3d* is less than or equal to a threshold *TR3*, e.g., $TR3 = -0.8$, if *R4d* is less than or equal to a threshold *TR4*, e.g., $TR4 = -0.85$, or if $R3d + R4d$ is less than or equal to a threshold *TR34*, e.g., $TR34 = -1.37$.

If none of these conditions are met, the speech/VBD discriminating unit 130 next attempts to discriminate based on *SSR2* (step 166). Specifically, if *SSR2* is greater than or equal to a threshold *TS2*, e.g., $TS2 = 0.51$, the input frame is classified as speech. If *SSR2* is less than *TS2*, the input frame is classified as VBD.

Recognizing that once a frame is classified as speech or VBD, the next frame will probably have the same classification, the speech/VBD discrimination technique described above is implemented in a sequential decision logic algorithm in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention to improve decision reliability.

Figs. 3A-3C are flowcharts which illustrate an exemplary sequential decision logic algorithm implemented by the speech/VBD discriminating unit 130 to discriminate speech and VBD. The sequential decision logic algorithm illustrated in Figs 3A-3C essentially has six states: (1) an initialization state; (2) a determination state in which individual input frames are classified as being either speech or VBD; (3) a speech state in which the classification result remains speech until subsequent classification results indicate that the speech state is erroneous; (4) a “was speech” state in which a period of low-power occurs after entering the speech state; (5) a VBD state in which the classification result remains VBD until subsequent classification results indicate the VBD state is

erroneous; and (6) a “was VBD” state in which a period of low-power occurs after entering the VBD state. The significance of these classification states will become more apparent from the following description.

Referring to Fig. 3A, during an initialization step, each counter used
5 in the sequential decision algorithm is set to 0 (step 202). Next, the discriminating unit 130 calculates P_s for a frame of interest (step 204) and determines whether P_s is greater than or equal to an energy threshold $ETH1$ (step 206). When P_s is less than $ETH1$, the discriminating unit does not attempt to determine whether the frame is speech or VBD, and instead
10 returns to step 204 to calculate the P_s for the next frame. In other words, the discriminating unit 130 does not initially attempt to classify input frames as speech or VBD until P_s reaches $ETH1$. The sequential decision logic algorithm remains in an initialization state until P_s reaches $ETH1$.

When the discriminating unit 130 determines that P_s is greater than
15 or equal to $ETH1$, the sequential decision logic algorithm enters a determination state in which the speech/VBD discriminating unit 130 calculates discrimination feature values for the frame of interest (step 208) and decides whether these discrimination feature values indicate that the frame of interest is speech or VBD (step 210). In other words, the
20 discriminating unit 130 executes the raw decision logic discussed above with reference to Fig. 2 to classify the frame of interest as speech or VBD. When the frame of interest is classified as speech, a speech counter Spc is incremented by 1 (step 212), and Spc is compared to a speech count threshold Sp_y , e.g., $Sp_y = 1$ (step 214). If Spc is less than Sp_y , the
25 sequential decision logic remains in the determination state and the discriminating unit 130 computes the discrimination feature values for the next input frame (step 208). If Spc is at least equal to Sp_y , the sequential decision logic enters the speech state, which is described below with reference to Fig. 3B.

If, at step 210, the input frame is classified as VBD, a VBD counter Mdc is incremented by 1 (step 216), and Mdc is compared to a VBD count threshold Mdy , e.g., $Mdy = 4$. If Mdc is less than Mdy , the sequential decision logic remains in the determination state, and the discriminating unit 130 computes the discrimination feature values for the next frame (step 208). If Mdc is at least equal to Mdy , the sequential decision logic enters the VBD state, which is discussed in detail below with reference to Fig. 3C. In accordance with the sequential decision logic shown in Fig. 3B, after a predetermined number of frames have been classified as speech/VBD based on SSR and/or autocorrelation coefficient values so that the sequential decision logic algorithm enters the speech/VBD state, speech/VBD discrimination output does not change unless a certain number of subsequent classification results indicate that the speech/VBD state is erroneous.

Referring to Fig. 3B, when the sequential decision logic enters the speech state (step 230), Ps is calculated for the next frame (step 204) and compared with the energy threshold $ETh1$ (step 234). If Ps is at least equal to $ETh1$, a silence counter Sic is set equal to 0 (step 236), and the speech/VBD discriminating unit 130 calculates discrimination feature values for the next frame (step 238) so that the input frame can be classified as speech or VBD (step 240), i.e., "raw decision" is performed. If the input frame is classified as speech at step 240, the VBD counter Mdc is divided by 2 (step 242), the sequential decision logic remains in the speech state, and the classification sequence returns to step 232 so that the discriminating unit 130 calculates Ps for the next frame. If the input frame is recognized as VBD at step 240, the VBD counter Mdc is incremented by a "power-compensated" increment x (described in detail below) (step 244), and Mdc is compared with the VBD state-change threshold Mdx , e.g., $Mdx = 8$ (step 246). If Mdc is not at least equal to Mdx , the sequential decision

logic remains in the speech state, and the decision sequence returns to step 232 so that the speech/VBD discriminating unit 130 calculates P_s for the next frame. When, however, Mdc is at least equal to Mdx , the VBD counter Mdc is reset to 0 (step 248), and the sequential decision logic
5 switches to the VBD state.

When the speech/VBD discriminating unit 130 determines at step 234 that P_s is less than $ETH1$, the silence counter Sic is incremented by 1 (step 250) and compared to a silence counter threshold Siy , e.g., $Siy = 8$, (step 252). If Sic has not reached Siy , the sequential decision logic remains
10 in the speech state, and proceeds to step 238 so that the discriminating unit 130 computes discrimination values for the frame of interest. When Sic reaches Siy , however, the sequential decision logic enters a "was speech" state which will next be described with reference to flow diagram blocks 253-257. During the "was speech" state, the discriminating unit
15 130 initially calculates P_s for the next frame (step 253), and compares P_s with the energy threshold $ETH1$ (step 254). If P_s is greater than or equal to $ETH1$, the silence counter Sic is reset to 0 (step 255) and the sequential decision logic returns to speech state step 238. When the discriminating unit 130 determines that P_s is less than $ETH1$ at step 254, the silence
20 counter Sic is incremented by 1 (step 256) and Sic is compared to a second silence counter threshold Six (step 257), e.g., $Six = 200$. If Sic has not reached Six , the sequential decision logic remains in the "was speech" state, and P_s is calculated for the next frame at step 253. When Sic reaches Six , however, the sequential decision logic returns to its
25 initialization state at step 202, i.e., reset occurs.

Referring next to Fig. 3C, it can be seen that the sequential decision logic operates during the VBD state in a similar manner to the speech state described above with regard to Fig. 3B. Specifically, after entering the VBD state (step 260) based on the determination at step 218 or step 246, the

discriminating unit 130 calculates P_s for the next frame (step 262) and compares P_s with the energy threshold $ETH1$ (step 264). If P_s is greater than or equal to $ETH1$, the silence counter Sic is set equal to 0 (step 266), and the discriminating unit 130 computes the discrimination feature values for the frame of interest (step 268) so that the discriminating unit 130 determines whether the frame of interest is speech or VBD based on the “raw decision” logic of Fig. 2 (step 270). If the discriminating unit 130 determines at step 270 that the frame of interest is VBD, the speech counter Spc is divided by two (step 272), the sequential decision logic remains in the VBD state, and P_s is calculated for the next frame (step 262). If the discriminating unit 130 determines at step 270 that the frame of interest is speech, the speech counter Spc is incremented by a “power-compensated” increment x (step 274), and Spc is compared with a speech counter threshold Spx , e.g., $Spx = 4$ (step 276). If Spc is not at least equal to Spx , the sequential decision logic remains in the VBD state and returns to step 262 so that the discriminating unit 130 calculates P_s for the next frame. If Spc is determined to be at least equal to Spx at step 276, the speech counter Spc is reset to 0 (step 278) and the sequential decision logic enters the speech state discussed above with reference to Fig. 3B.

When P_s is less than $ETH1$ at step 264, the silence counter Sic is incremented by 1 (step 280) and compared with the silence counter threshold Siy (step 282). If Sic is not at least equal to Siy , the sequential decision logic remains in the VBD state and proceeds to step 268 to compute discrimination feature values for the frame of interest. When, however, Sic reaches Siy at step 282, the sequential decision logic enters a “was VBD” state which is next described with reference to blocks 283-287 shown in Fig. 3C.

Specifically, the discriminating unit 130 calculates P_s for the next frame (step 283) and compares P_s with $ETH1$ (step 284). If P_s is greater

than or equal to $ETh1$, the silence counter Sic is reset to 0 (step 285), and the sequential decision logic returns to step 268 of the VBD state to compute discrimination feature values for the frame of interest. When Ps is less than $ETh1$ at step 284, the silence counter Sic is incremented by 1 (step 286) and Sic is compared with the second silence counter threshold Six (step 287). When Sic is determined to be less than Six at step 287, the sequential decision logic remains in the "was VBD" state and Ps is calculated for the next frame (step 283). When Sic reaches Six at step 287, however, the sequential decision logic returns to the initialization state of step 202.

Regarding to the "power-compensated" increment x discussed above with reference to the speech state and VBD state decision logic, the present invention recognizes that discrimination between speech and VBD is more prone to errors for relatively low-power signal portions. For speech, a low-power signal portion may be unvoiced speech or gaps between speech. For VBD, a low-power portion may represent gaps between transmissions, or the waiting period during a handshake procedure. These signal portions are more prone to be influenced by noise and cross-talk because lower signal power results in a lower signal-to-noise ratio. Therefore, the "power compensated" increment x used to control when the sequential decision logic switches from the speech state to the VBD state, and vice versa, is a function of Ps . For a relatively low Ps , a small x is assigned. Otherwise, a larger x is used. Additionally an adaptive power threshold, $ETh2$, is used to determine whether a relatively large or small value of x should be used. $ETh2$ is calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{\max} &= \max(\alpha \cdot P_{\max}, Ps(n)) \\
 ETh2 &= \beta \cdot P_{\max} \\
 ETh2 &\in [Ebnd, Ebup],
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{11}$$

where E_{bup} and E_{bnd} are the upper and lower boundaries of E_{Th2} respectively. E_{bnd} can be as small as or a multiple of E_{Th1} , e.g., $E_{bnd} = 10 \cdot E_{Th1}$, and E_{bup} can, e.g., $= 1.2 \cdot 10^7$. The symbol α represents a constant which is near 1, e.g., $\alpha = 0.995$, and β is also a constant which can be between $1/50$ to $1/10$, e.g., $\beta = 1/12$. P_{max} is the run-time estimation of the peak power of the signal.

Using E_{Th2} , the “power compensated” variable x can be determined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{If } P_s < E_{Th1} : x = 0; \\
 & \text{Else if } P_s < E_{Th2} : x = \gamma; \\
 & \text{Else } x = 1,
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where γ is a constant in the range of $[0.1, 0.5]$, e.g., $\gamma = 0.2$. It should be realized that the evaluation criteria of the above-described discrimination technique can be altered for different applications. For example, some of the parameters discussed above can be adjusted depending on the requirements of the individual system, for example if the system requires a fast decision, or an extremely low misclassification ratio.

The foregoing merely illustrates the principles of the invention. It will be appreciated that those skilled in the art will be able to devise various arrangements which, although not explicitly described or shown herein, embody the principles of the invention and are thus within the spirit and scope.